



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/680,260

10/08/2003

David William Abraham

YOR920030013US1

5657

48150

7590

02/09/2009

MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD
SUITE 200
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817

EXAMINER

MAI, ANH D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2814

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. The Amendment filed October 23, 2008 has been entered. Claims 1, 5 and 20 have been amended. Claims 8, 9, 16 and 18 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19-23, 25 and 26 are pending.

Action on merits of claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19-23, 25 and 26 follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 22, 23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamata et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0142192) of record, in view of Grider et al. (US Patent No. 6,093,659).

With respect to claim 1, Kamata teaches method of patterning a magnetic thin film substantially as claimed including:

transforming a portion of the magnetic thin film (20) to be non-magnetic and electrically insulating (40) using a chemical transformation, the chemical transformation comprises using a reactive plasma comprising halogen-containing gas, wherein the portion of the magnetic thin film (20) comprises NiFe and the transforming comprises transforming the NiFe to a fluorine-containing film (40), and

Art Unit: 2814

wherein the fluorine-containing film (40) is electrically insulating. (See Figs. 3A-B, 13A-B).

Thus Kamata is shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of explicitly disclosing the halogen-containing gas comprising a combination of fluorine-based gas and *a bromide-containing gas*.

However, Grider teaches halogen species including fluorine-containing gas and bromide-containing gas are routinely usable together in a same chemical reaction. (See claim 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to transform the portion of the magnetic thin film of Kamata to be non-magnetic and electrically insulating film utilizing halogen-containing gas comprising fluorine-based film and a bromide-containing gas as taught by Grider since the species of halogen-containing gas are well known in the art to be utilized together for the same intended purpose.

With respect to claim 2, the method of Kamata further includes: providing a mask (30) over the portion of the magnetic thin film (20) to be preserved using photolithography.

With respect to claim 5, the fluorine-based reactive plasma of Kamata CF_4 , SF_6 , CHF_3 .

With respect to claim 6, the pressure used in the converting of Kamata is within a range of about 10 mT to about 30 mT.

With respect to claim 11, the mask (30) of Kamata comprises a photoresist.

With respect to claim 14, the chemical transformation of Kamata can be performed at room temperature.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 15, the reactive plasma of Kamata includes a fluorocarbon.

With respect to claim 17, the reactive plasma of Kamata includes sulfur hexafluoride.

With respect to claim 19, the pressure of Kamata is selectively employed for the plasma sputtering such that the magnetic thin film material (20) is substantially free of erosion.

With respect to claim 22, the mask of Kamata comprises an insulating hard mask (30, 360), the method of Kamata further includes: after the converting, selectively etching the insulating hard mask (30, 360) to remove the insulating hard mask.

With respect to claim 23, the method of Kamata further includes: forming a conductive material (380) over the area where the insulating hard mask (360) was etched. (See Fig. 13C).

With respect to claim 25, the magnetic thin film (20) of Kamata includes a magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ), and wherein after the converting portion, the edges of the magnetic tunnel junction have no exposure to oxygen. (See Figs 3C, 13B).

With respect to claim 26, the edge smoothness of the MTJ of Kamata is inherently determined by a line edge roughness of the mask (30, 360).

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamata '192 and Grider '659 as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Ning et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0098676) of record.

Kamata and Grider teach the method as described in claim 2 above including providing the mask (30) over the portion of the magnetic thin film (20) using photolithography.

Art Unit: 2814

Thus, Kamata and Grider are shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of utilizing a metal hard mask.

However, Ning teaches utilizing photolithography to provide a mask including TaN, TiN (244) for patterning.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a hard mask of Kamata including a TiN and TaN as taught by Ning for patterning over the portion of the magnetic thin film.

4. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamata and Grider as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,165,803) of record.

With respect to claim 20, Kamata teaches providing the mask (30) over the portion of the magnetic thin film (20) to be preserved using photolithography.

Thus, Kamata and Grider are shown to teach all the features of the claim with the exception of including further process steps.

However, Chen teaches a method of patterning a magnetic thin film including: transforming a portion of the magnetic thin film (42) to be non-magnetic and electrically insulating (42b) using a chemical transformation, the process further comprises:

forming an insulating layer (72) over the converted portion (42b) of the magnetic thin film (42) and the mask (52); and

Art Unit: 2814

etching the insulating layer (72) and the mask (52) to planarize the upper level of the mask (52) and the insulating layer (72). (See Fig. 12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to further process the transformed thin film of Kamata after the transformation as taught by Chen to form the MTJ device.

With respect to claim 21, the method of Chen, further includes:

selectively etching the mask (52); and forming a conductive material (70) over the insulating layer (72) and the area where the mask (52) was selectively etched. (See Fig. 13).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2814

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Anh D. Mai/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814